

- (3) Discuss Williamson's "chain reaction" argument to the effect that a mere JTB that *P* cannot suffice for *P*'s constituting evidence.
- (4) How plausible do you take the suggestion that the concept of knowledge can be used to analyse that of belief, by claiming, for example, as Williamson does, that "believing that *P*" amounts to "treating *P* as if one knew it"? (And what does it *mean* to treat something as if one knew it?)

Next week

- Topic: The value of knowledge
- Required reading:
 - Pritchard, D. *WTK*, Ch. 2
- Recommended reading:
 - Bondy, P. 2015: Epistemic Value. In F. Fieser & B. Dowden (eds.), *Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Sections 1–3. (Available [here](#))
 - Hyman, J. 2010. The Road To Larissa. *Ratio*, 23: 393–414. Sections §3–§8.
 - Kvanvig, J. 2003. *The Value of Knowledge and the Pursuit of Understanding*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Ch 1 ‘The value of knowledge is external to it’, especially the section titled ‘Plato and the tethering of true belief’.
 - Olsson, E.J. 2011: The Value of Knowledge. *Philosophy Compass* 6(12): 874–883. (Available in course reader)